Case 2:06 Enougherntenite documentes indistrict of agents 8

James G. Huffman, Petitioner REPENSO

25.5 861 25 A ID 31.

v. Southern Health Partners Services, et.al. Peopondents

748-MEF

## Motion For Leave 20 Amend Original Claim

Comes now your Petitioner, James & Huffman, pro se, and would move the Honorable District Court for leave to add an amendment frew claim to his original 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action and he would support such request with the following facts:

1.) Shat another matter has arisen in the area of his treatment by the Respondents in which has to do with his health needs, wherein he has suffered from the conduct of Respondent's inactions to resolve the confiscation of his prescription eye glasses by the security staff of the autauga metro fail.

2) Petitioner would contend that on or around September 12, 2006 wherein his personal property was confiscated by the security personnel of the autauga metro sail during a shake down of 6-Pod, while all prisoners of 6 Pod were rushed out of their cells, and taken outside to the recreation area while security personnel searched every-ones living area for prison contraband.

2.) Plaintiff had no chance to get his lyl glasses off of the shelf, where he had laid them after cleaning the lens, and making adjustments to the frames as he had to do from time to time, due to the fact that the Security staff of autauga metro Jail rushed all prisoners out of their living areas. noone was given time to do anything other than to comply to their orders to exit their cells and proceed outside to the recreation ana.

3.) Upon Petitioners return to cell#601, in Dix pod, he discovered that his gold rim frames, along with his corrective lens, where not on the shelf where he had left them prior to his being run out of the #601 cell by security personnel.

4.) Petitioner had removed his eye glasses

Corrective lens in order to clean them, and he had not had a chance to complete the adjustments he was making on the temple, nose pieces, and frames prior to being run outside by the Respondent's.

5) Once Petitioner realized his glasses were gone he made the officer in the security cube overlooking 6 Pod aware of this fact. He was told to fill out an immate request to the attention of Lieutenant S. Laman to make him aware of the situation, so Petitioner sent the attention of Lieutenant requesting he be given his lye glasses and frames back. Lieutenant Laman responded with the following:

"Anything taken from your cell was determined to be contrab and and Will not be returned". "SEE EXHIBITA"

6.) Petitioner has a severe astigmatism, along with rear sightedness, which requires prescription eyeglasses. Petitioner has been wearing prescription eyewear since the 4th grade, and can not watch television, read on function hormally without eyeglasses.

- 7.) Petitioner was booked into the Autouga Metro Dail on September 13, 2006, [2005] and he had prescription eye glasses upon such booking, and this should be a record of the fail is listing of Petitioners personal property.
  - 8.) Petitioner has written Sheriff James "Hersie" Johnson two seperate correspondences requesting that his glasses be returned to him, but as of this date he has received no response or any relief from his request.
  - 9.) Petitioner would contend that he has done everything he can to seek a remedy to this situlation, but nothing has been done in order to rectify this matter, therefore your Petitioner would move the Honorable District Court to amend his original complaint, and to bring this new claim to his 42 U.S.C. 31983 action in order to seek relief from the Responderts' treatment of Petitioner.
  - 10) your Petitioner would assert that he has used diligence in seeking reconciliations of this matter, however, due to having received no response from the Sheriff, or from

the Staff of the Autauga Metro Jail, your Petitioner would move the Honorable District Court to allow him leave to bring this claim onto his original 42 U.S.C. 3 1983 action, as he believes that this matter is a colorable claim of his civil rights as Well as his constitutional rights being violated by the Respondent's Egregious conduct towards Petitioner, and he would ower that such actions have caused your Petitioner to suffer needlessly, as the Respondents could have simply returned his eye glasses to him, but chose to act in an arbitrary and capricious manner rather than doing anything to become the resolut this problem.

12) your Politioner believes that this matter is close enough to his original complaint, in which he has raised the issue of inadequate medical care, to have this new claim to be brought forward by allowing him leave to amend his original complaint, and marry in the new claim of the taking of his prescription eyewear, under color of law, doing this wrong to Petitioner as in retrobutetion for his present claims against them, or perhaps just being cruel and difficult.

3.) Your Petitioner Would seek that the Honorable Court assist him to get his eye glasses back from Defendants or in the alternative to be taken to an optician in order to have his eye whar replaced by the Autauga County Sheriff.

4) Petitioner would seek declatory, punitive, cumlative, as well as monetary relief from the arbitary, and capricious actions of the Defendants as well as the cruel and egrecious behavior of the Defendants.

Wherefore These Premises Considered, your Plaintiff would request that he be allowed leave to amend his original claims to incorporate the additional complaint herein, and that he be given any other relief available to him.

For This We Forever Pray.

Respectfully Submitted, James G. Huffman Plaintiff 136 North Court Street Prattville, alabama 36067

## Certificate of Service

l, James G. Huffman, do hereby certify that I have delivered a copy of the same foregoing motion by placing such in the United States mail properly addressed to the office of the Clerk for the United States District Court. P.O. Box 711- Montgomery, al. 36101-0711 and with proper postage prepaid and offixed this the 20th day of October, 2006.

James G. Huffman Plaintiff

a copy of the forgoing motion to the enities and parties listed blow by the Dame means as above.

1) M=Dowell, Daulk+ M=Dowell, L.L.C. Ottorneys at Law 145 West-Main Street Prattville, al. 36067

2) fanier, Gord, Shaver + Payne P.R. Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 2087 Huntsville, al. 35804

Done this the day of, 2006

Dames G. Haffman

Case 2:06-cv-00748-MEF-WC Document 18 Filed 10/25/2006 Page 8 of 8

gold wire rim frames/corrective lens were confiscated in a shake down of his living area

Docume At 18/2 Page 1 of 1 Case 2:06-cv-00748-MEF-WC L'eutenant S. Lamas an missing the gold wire rim frames of PROCESSE POUR OUT ON THE TRANSPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE PA RESETTON DONAL ATTEM SER ENTE nighing Jaken &